



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science</u>
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJMCS_6371
Title of the Manuscript:	On the Existence and Nonexistence of Positive Solutions for Singular Quasilinear Elliptic Equations with Gradient Terms
Type of the Article	Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	In this paper, using supersolution and subsolution methods, the authors study the existence and nonexistence of positive solutions to singular quasilinear elliptic equations with gradient terms . This subject is interesting, the results of the paper are new and nontrivial and the proofs seem to be correct. I believe the paper is of interest to the readers. From my point of view, the work is well-done and merits to be published but only after a careful revision. Please improve English in your manuscript and seek the advice of someone with a good knowledge of English, preferable native speaker.	My paper have been modified arccording to Review. Please see the correct the manuscript.